arXiv:math/0212229v1 [math.FA] 17 Dec 2002

Interpolation orbits in the Lebesgue spaces

V.I.Ovchinnikov

This paper is devoted to description of interpolation orbits with respect to linear operators mapping an arbitrary couple of L_p spaces with weights $\{L_{p_0}(U_0),\, L_{p_1}(U_1)\}$ into an arbitrary couple $\{L_{q_0}(V_0),\, L_{q_1}(V_1)\}$, where $1 \le p_0, p_1, q_0, q_1 \le \infty$. By $L_p(U)$ we denote the space of measurable functions f on a measure space $\mathfrak{M}$ such that $fU \in L_p$ with the norm $\|f\|_{L_p(U)} = \|fU\|_{L_p}$.

Let $\{X_0,\, X_1\}$ and $\{Y_0,\, Y_1\}$ be two Banach couples, $a \in X_0 + X_1$. The space $Orb(a, \{X_0,\, X_1\} \to \{Y_0,\, Y_1\})$ is a Banach space of $y \in Y_0 + Y_1$ such that $y = Ta$, where T is a linear operator mapping the couple $\{X_0,\, X_1\}$ into the couple $\{Y_0,\, Y_1\}$. The norm is defined by

$$\|y\|_{Orb} = \inf_T \max(\|T\|_{X_0 \to Y_0},\, \|T\|_{X_1 \to Y_1}),$$

where infimum is taken over all representations of y in the form $y = Ta$. This space is called an interpolation orbit of the element a.

We are going to describe the spaces

$$Orb(a,\, \{L_{p_0}(U_0),\, L_{p_1}(U_1)\} \to \{L_{q_0}(V_0),\, L_{q_1}(V_1)\})$$

for arbitrary a, any $1 \le p_0,\, p_1,\, q_0,\, q_1 \le \infty$ and any positive weights $U_0,\, U_1,\, V_0,\, V_1$.

Fundamental results on description of these spaces in separate cases are well known since 1965. The key role was played by the J.Peetre K-functional.

Let $\{X_0,\, X_1\}$ be a Banach couple, $x \in X_0 + X_1$, $s > 0$, $t > 0$. Denote by

$$K(s, t, x; \{X_0,\, X_1\}) = \inf_{x = x_0 + x_1} s\|x_0\|_{X_0} + t\|x_1\|_{X_1}$$

where infimum is taken over all representations of x as a sum of $x_0 \in X_0$ and $x_1 \in X_1$. The function $K(s, t)$ is concave and it is uniquely defined by the function $K(1, t, x; \{X_0,\, X_1\})$ which is also denoted by $K(t, x; \{X_0,\, X_1\})$.

If $1 \le p_0 \le q_0 \le \infty$, $1 \le p_1 \le q_1 \le \infty$, the orbits $Orb(a, \{L_{p_0}(U_0),\, L_{p_1}(U_1)\} \to \{L_{q_0}(V_0),\, L_{q_1}(V_1)\})$ were described as the generalized Marcinkiewicz spaces, i.e.,

$$Orb\,(a, \{L_{p_0}(U_0),\, L_{p_1}(U_1)\} \to \{L_{q_0}(V_0),\, L_{q_1}(V_1)\})$$

$$= M_\varphi(L_{q_0}(V_0),\, L_{q_1}(V_1)) = \{y : \sup_{s,t} \frac{K(s, t, y; \{L_{q_0}(V_0),\, L_{q_1}(V_1)\})}{\varphi(s, t)} < \infty\},$$

where $\varphi(s, t) = K(s, t, a; \{L_{p_0}(U_0),\, L_{p_1}(U_1)\})$, for any $a \in L_{p_0}(U_0) + L_{p_1}(U_1)$. First results were obtained by B.S.Mitiagin and A.P.Calderon in the case $p_0 = q_0 = 1$ and $p_1 = q_1 = \infty$. The final steps in the description were done by G.Sparr in [12], [13] and V.I.Dmitriev in [4]. In particular G.Sparr showed that if

$$K(s, t, y; \{L_{p_0}(V_0),\, L_{p_1}(V_1)\}) \le CK(s, t, a; \{L_{p_0}(U_0),\, L_{p_1}(U_1)\}),$$

then there exists a linear operator $T : \{L_{p_0}(U_0),\, L_{p_1}(U_1)\} \to \{L_{p_0}(V_0),\, L_{p_1}(V_1)\}$ such that $y = Ta$.

V.I.Dmitriev in [4] had also found a description of orbits in the case of arbitrary $1 \le p_0,\, p_1 \le \infty$ and $q_0 = q_1 = 1$ as well as in the case of arbitrary $1 \le p_1,\, q_0 \le \infty$ and $p_0 = q_1 = 1$. These Dmitriev's results were not estimated properly at that time because the form of description seemed to be not satisfactory.

The result we are going to present here goes up to the paper [8] where some optimal interpolation theorems were found. These theorems could be considered as a description of interpolation orbits of elements a from a one-parameter family but for arbitrary indices $1 \le p_0, p_1, q_0, q_1 \le \infty$. Developing this approach the following description was conjectured in [9]. The space $Orb(a, \{L_{p_0}(U_0),\, L_{p_1}(U_1)\} \to \{L_{q_0}(V_0),\, L_{q_1}(V_1)\})$ is situated between $L_{q_0}(V_0)$ and $L_{q_1}(V_1)$ exactly in "the same place" where the Calderon–Lozanovskii space $\varphi(L_{r_0}(W_0),\, L_{r_1}(W_1))$ is situated between $L_{r_0}(W_0)$ and $L_{r_1}(W_1)$, where $\varphi(s,t) = K(s,t,a,\{L_{p_0}(U_0),\, L_{p_1}(U_1)\})$ and $r_0^{-1} = (q_0^{-1} - p_0^{-1})_+$, $r_1^{-1} = (q_1^{-1} - p_1^{-1})_+$. This hypothesis was confirmed in the paper [10] for elements a with quasi-power functions $\varphi(s,t) = K(s,t,a,\{L_{p_0}(U_0),\, L_{p_1}(U_1)\})$. (Note that it may happen that the space $L_{p_0}(U_0) + L_{p_1}(U_1)$ does not contain such elements at all.) Now we show that hypothesis from [9] is true for any $a \in L_{p_0}(U_0) + L_{p_1}(U_1)$. We also present a slightly modified description of interpolation orbits which resembles Dmitriev's description from [4].

1^o. THE METHOD OF MEANS FOR ANY QUASI-CONCAVE FUNCTIONAL PARAMETER.

Let $\varphi(s,t)$ be interpolation function, that is let $\rho(t) = \varphi(1,t)$ be quasi-concave and $\varphi(s,t)$ be homogeneous of the degree one. Assume that $\varphi \in \Phi_0$ which means that $\varphi(1,t) \to 0$ and $\varphi(t,1) \to 0$ as $t \to 0$. Denote by $\{t_n\}$ a balanced sequence corresponding to the quasi-concave function $\rho(t)$ (see [3]). The sequence may be constructed by induction

$$\min\left(\frac{\rho(t_{n+1})}{\rho(t_n)},\, \frac{t_{n+1}\rho(t_n)}{t_n\rho(t_{n+1})}\right) = q > 1. \tag{1}$$

(For simplicity in the sequel we suppose that the sequence $\{t_n\}$ is two-sided.) Such sequences invented by K.Oskolkov were introduced to interpolation by S.Janson (see [5]).

The main property of this sequence is the following

$$K(s,t,\{\rho(t_n)\},\{l_{p_0},\, l_{p_1}(t_n^{-1})\}) \asymp \varphi(s,t) \tag{2}$$

for any $1 \le p_0, p_1 \le \infty$ (see [11]).

Definition 1. *Let $\{X_0,\, X_1\}$ be any Banach couple, $\rho(t)$ be a quasi-concave function such that $\varphi \in \Phi_0$ and $1 \le p_0, p_1 \le \infty$. Denote by $\varphi(X_0,\, X_1)_{p_0,p_1}$ the space of $x \in X_0 + X_1$ such that*

$$x = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \rho(t_n) w_n \quad \text{(convergence in } X_0 + X_1\text{)},$$

where $w_n \in X_0 \cap X_1$ and $\{\|w_n\|_{X_0}\} \in l_{p_0}$, $\{t_n\|w_n\|_{X_1}\} \in l_{p_1}$.

The norm in $\varphi(X_0,\, X_1)_{p_0,p_1}$ is naturally defined. In the case of $\varphi(s,t) = s^{1-\theta}t^{\theta}$, $\quad 0 < \theta < 1$ these spaces where introduced by J.-L.Lions and J.Peetre and were called the spaces of means (see [7]). In this case we take $t_n = 2^n$. As we shall see later that new notations we use here have it's own reasons.

Note that the space $\varphi(X_0,\, X_1)_{\infty,\infty}$ coincides with the generalized Marcinkiewicz space $M_\varphi(X_0,\, X_1)$ as well as with the space $(X_0,\, X_1)_{\rho,\infty}$ (see [5],[11]).

Let $\{X_0,\, X_1\}$ be a couple of Banach lattices. Recall that the space $\varphi(X_0,\, X_1)$ is the space of all elements from X_0+X_1 such that $|x|=\varphi(|x_0|,\,|x_1|)$, where $x_0\in X_0,\quad x_1\in X_1$ with the norm

$$\|x\|_{\varphi(X_0,X_1)}=\inf_{x_0,x_1}\max(\|x_0\|_{X_0},\,\|x_1\|_{X_1})$$

and the infimum is taken over all representation of $|x|$ in the form $\varphi(|x_0|,|x_1|)$.

Lemma 1. *Let* $1\le p_0,p_1\le\infty$, *then*

$$\varphi(L_{p_0}(U_0),\, L_{p_1}(U_1))=\varphi(L_{p_0}(U_0),\, L_{p_1}(U_1))_{p_0,p_1}.$$

(Note that if $U_0=1$ and $U_1=1$, then $\varphi(L_{p_0},\, L_{p_1})$ is an Orlicz space.)

Proof. Let us show the embedding

$$\varphi(L_{p_0}(U_0),\, L_{p_1}(U_1))_{p_0,p_1}\subset\varphi(L_{p_0}(U_0),\, L_{p_1}(U_1)). \tag{3}$$

Let

$$x=\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}w_n\rho(t_n)$$

where $\{\|w_n\|_{L_{p_0}}\}\in l_{p_0}$, and $\{t_n\|w_n\|_{L_{p_1}}\}\in l_{p_1}$. We introduce a linear operator

$$T:\{l_{p_0'},\, l_{p_1'}(t_n^{-1})\}\to\{L_{p_0}(U_0),\, L_{p_1}(U_1)\},$$

where p' denotes the index dual to the index p, i.e., $p'=(1-1/p)^{-1}$. We put

$$T(\xi)=\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}w_n\xi_n,\qquad\text{(convergence in } L_{p_0}(U_0)+L_{p_1}(U_1))$$

for $\xi\in l_{p_0'}+l_{p_1'}(t_n^{-1})$.

If $\xi\in l_{p_0'}$, then the series $(w_n\xi_n)$ is absolutely convergent in $L_{p_0}(U_0)$. If $\xi\in l_{p_1'}(t_n^{-1})$, then the series $(w_n\xi_n)=(w_nt_n\xi_nt_n^{-1})$ is absolutely convergent in $L_{p_1}(U_1)$. Moreover everywhere on the measure space $\mathfrak{M}$ we have

$$|\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}w_n(m)\xi_n|\le\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}|w_n(m)||\xi_n|$$

$$\le(\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}|w_n(m)|^{p_0})^{1/p_0}(\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}|\xi_n|^{p_0'})^{1/p_0'}.$$

Since

$$\int\limits_{\mathfrak{M}}\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}|U_0(m)w_n(m)|^{p_0}dm=\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\|w_n\|^{p_0}_{L_{p_0}(U_0)}<\infty$$

we deduce

$$(\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}|w_n(m)|^{p_0})^{1/p_0}=W_0(m)\in L_{p_0}(U_0).$$

Analogously

$$(\sum(t_n|w_n(m)|)^{p_1})^{1/p_1}=W_1(m)\in L_{p_1}(U_1).$$

Hence

$$|T(\xi)(m)| \le W_0(m)\|\xi\|_{l_{p_0'}} \quad \text{for} \quad \xi \in l_{p_0'},$$

$$|T(\xi)(m)| \le W_1(m)\|\xi\|_{l_{p_1'}(t_n^{-1})} \quad \text{for} \quad \xi \in l_{p_1'}(t_n^{-1}).$$

This means that the operator T is factorized through a couple of weighted L_∞-spaces. So we have

$$T : l_{p_0'} \to L_\infty(W_0^{-1}(m)) \subset L_{p_0}(U_0),$$

$$T : l_{p_1'}(t_n^{-1}) \to L_\infty(W_1^{-1}(m)) \subset L_{p_1}(U_1).$$

(Without loss of generality we suppose here that almost everywhere on the measure space $\mathfrak{M}$ both weights W_0 and W_1 are not equal to 0.)

It is easily seen that the image x of the sequence $\{\rho(t_n)\}$ with respect to the operator T is equal to the sum

$$x = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \rho(t_n) w_n.$$

In view of (2)

$$K(s, t, \{\rho(t_n)\}, \{l_{p_0'}, l_{p_1'}(t_n^{-1})\}) \asymp \varphi(s, t).$$

Hence

$$K(s, t, T(\{\rho(t_n)\}), \{L_\infty(W_0^{-1}), L_\infty(W_1^{-1})\})$$
$$= K(s, t, x, \{L_\infty(W_0^{-1}), L_\infty(W_1^{-1})\}) \le C\varphi(s, t),$$

which imply

$$|x(m)| \le K(W_0(m), W_1(m), x, \{L_\infty(W_0^{-1}), L_\infty(W_1^{-1})\}) \le C\varphi(W_0(m), W_1(m)).$$

So we obtain $x \in \varphi(L_{p_0}(U_0),\, L_{p_1}(U_1))$ and embedding (3) is proved.

Now consider the embedding

$$\varphi(L_{p_0}(U_0),\, L_{p_1}(U_1)) \subset \varphi(L_{p_0}(U_0),\, L_{p_1}(U_1))_{p_0,p_1}. \tag{4}$$

Let $a \in \varphi(L_{p_0}(U_0),\, L_{p_1}(U_1))$. Denote by $\psi(t)$ the K-functional of a, i.e.,

$$\psi(t) = K(t, a, \{L_{p_0}(U_0),\, L_{p_1}(U_1)\}).$$

and denote by $\{u_m\}$ a balanced sequence for the function ψ. (Note that $\psi \in \Phi_0$ because of $a \in \varphi(L_{p_0}(U_0),\, L_{p_1}(U_1)) \subset (L_{p_0}(U_0),\, L_{p_1}(U_1))_{\rho,\infty}$.) Then

$$\psi(t) \asymp K(t, \{\psi(u_m)\}, \{l_{p_0},\, l_{p_1}(u_m^{-1})\}) \asymp K(t, \{\psi(u_m)\}, \{l_\infty, l_\infty(u_m^{-1})\}).$$

(Note also that index m runs over some interval $\mathbb{M}$ in $\mathbb{Z}$.) By the Sparr theorem for the couples $\{L_{p_0}(U_0),\, L_{p_1}(U_1)\}$ and $\{l_{p_0},\, l_{p_1}(u_m^{-1})\}$ there exist linear operators

$$A : \{L_{p_0}(U_0),\, L_{p_1}(U_1)\} \to \{l_{p_0},\, l_{p_1}(u_m^{-1})\}$$

and

$$B : \{l_{p_0},\, l_{p_1}(u_m^{-1})\} \to \{L_{p_0}(U_0),\, L_{p_1}(U_1)\}$$

such that $A(a) = \{\psi(u_m)\}$ and $B(\{\psi(u_m)\}) = a$. Since the Calderon–Lozanovskii construction is an interpolation functor for couples of L_p spaces we deduce

$$\{\psi(u_m)\} \in \varphi(l_{p_0},\, l_{p_1}(u_m^{-1})).$$

Recall that any element $a \in \varphi(L_{p_0}(U_0),\, L_{p_1}(U_1))$ belongs to the orbit of the sequence $\{\rho(t_n)\}$ with respect to linear operators mapping the couple $\{l_\infty, l_\infty(t_n^{-1})\}$ into the couple $\{L_{p_0}(U_0),\, L_{p_1}(U_1)\}$ (see, for instance [11]). This means that there exists a linear operator

$$T : \{l_\infty, l_\infty(t_n^{-1})\} \to \{L_{p_0}(U_0),\, L_{p_1}(U_1)\}$$

such that $T(\{\rho(t_n)\}) = a$.

Let us consider the composition

$$AT : \{l_\infty, l_\infty(t_n^{-1})\} \to \{l_{p_0},\, l_{p_1}(u_m^{-1})\},$$

which maps $\{\rho(t_n)\}$ into $\{\psi(u_m)\}$.

Since $l_p \subset l_\infty$ we consider the operator AT as an operator mapping the couple $\{l_\infty, l_\infty(t_n^{-1})\}$ into the couple $\{l_\infty, l_\infty(u_m^{-1})\}$. The embedding $l_p \subset l_\infty$ are $(1,p)$–summing by the Karl–Bennett theorem [1], hence

$$\{\|AT(e_n)\|_{l_\infty}\} \in l_{p_0} \qquad \{t_n\|AT(e_n)\|_{l_\infty(u_m^{-1})}\} \in l_{p_1},$$

where $\{e_n\}$ denotes the standard basis in l_p spaces.

Hence

$$\psi(u_m) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \rho(t_n) AT(e_n) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \rho(t_n) w_n, \tag{5}$$

which means

$$\{\psi(u_m)\} \in \varphi(l_\infty, l_\infty(u_m^{-1}))_{p_0,p_1}.$$

In view of (5)

$$K(t, \{\psi(u_m)\}, \{l_\infty, l_\infty(u_m^{-1})\}) \le \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \rho(t_n) K(t, w_n, \{l_\infty, l_\infty(u_m^{-1})\}),$$

therefore by (2)

$$K(t, \{\psi(u_m)\}, \{l_{p_0},\, l_{p_1}(u_m^{-1})\}) \le C \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \rho(t_n) K(t, w_n, \{l_\infty, l_\infty(u_m^{-1})\}). \tag{6}$$

By the K-divisibility (see [2]) for the couple $\{l_{p_0},\, l_{p_1}(u_m^{-1})\}$ (6) implies that there exists a sequence $w_n' \in l_{p_0} \cap l_{p_1}(u_m^{-1})$ such that

$$\{\psi(u_m)\} = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \rho(t_n) w_n',$$

and

$$K(t, w_n', \{l_{p_0},\, l_{p_1}(u_m^{-1})\}) \le 6C K(t, w_n, \{l_\infty, l_\infty(u_m^{-1})\}). \tag{7}$$

Since

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} K(t, w'_n, \{l_{p_0},\, l_{p_1}(u_m^{-1})\}) = \|w'_n\|_{l_{p_0}}$$

and

$$\lim_{t\to 0} \frac{1}{t} K(t, w'_n, \{l_{p_0},\, l_{p_1}(u_m^{-1})\}) = \|w'_n\|_{l_{p_1}(u_m^{-1})}$$

inequalities (7) imply

$$\|w'_n\|_{l_{p_0}} \le 6C\|w'_n\|_{l_\infty}, \qquad \|w'_n\|_{l_{p_1}(u_m^{-1})} \le 6C\|w'_n\|_{l_\infty(u_m^{-1})}.$$

Hence

$$\{\psi(u_m)\} \in \varphi(l_{p_0},\, l_{p_1}(u_m^{-1}))_{p_0,p_1}.$$

The construction $\varphi(X_0, X_1)_{p_0,p_1}$ is evidently an interpolation functor, hence the image of $\{\psi(u_m)\}$ with respect to linear operator $B : \{l_{p_0},\, l_{p_1}(u_m^{-1})\} \to \{L_{p_0}(U_0),\, L_{p_1}(U_1)\}$ belongs to $\varphi(L_{p_0}(U_0),\, L_{p_1}(U_1))_{p_0,p_1}$. Namely $a = B(\{\psi(u_m)\}) \in \varphi(L_{p_0}(U_0),\, L_{p_1}(U_1))_{p_0,p_1}$. So the embedding (4) and Lemma 1 are proved.

Remark 1. The embedding (4) in the case $p_0,\, p_1 \ge 2$ may be proved without the Karl–Bennett theorem and K-divisibility. We may simply mention that for a unconditionally convergent series (w_n) in L_p we have $\{\|w_n\|_{L_p}\} \in l_p$ if $p \ge 2$.

Let us now describe the space $\varphi(L_{p_0}(U_0),\, L_{p_1}(U_1))$ in term of the K-functional. Take any $a \in \varphi(L_{p_0}(U_0),\, L_{p_1}(U_1))$, consider the K-functional $\psi(t) = K(1, t, a, \{L_{p_0}(U_0),\, L_{p_1}(U_1)\})$ of a. Since $\varphi \in \Phi_0$, then $\psi \in \Phi_0$.

It is easily seen that $a \in \varphi(L_{p_0}(U_0),\, L_{p_1}(U_1))$ if and only if $\{\psi(u_m)\} \in \varphi(l_{p_0},\, l_{p_1}(u_m^{-1}))$, where $\{u_m\}$ is a balanced sequence for the function.

Recall that interpolation function φ is called non-degenerate if the ranges of the functions $\varphi(t, 1)$ and $\varphi(1, t)$ where $t > 0$ coincide with $(0, \infty)$.

Lemma 2. *If φ is non-degenerate, the space $\varphi(X_0,\, X_1)_{p_0,p_1}$ consists of $x \in X_0 + X_1$ for which*

$$\{K(u_m, x, \{X_0,\, X_1\}\} \in \varphi(l_{p_0},\, l_{p_1}(u_m^{-1})),$$

where $\{u_m\}$ is a balanced sequence for the function $K(t, x, \{X_0, X_1\})$.

Remark 2. Note that the spaces $\varphi(X_0,\, X_1)_{p,p}$ coincide with the space $(X_0,\, X_1)_{\rho,p}$ introduced by S.Janson (see [5]). Lemma 2 gives us a new description of these spaces as well.

Proof. Let us show that Lemma 2 is true for any interpolation function $\varphi \in \Phi_0$ and any mutually closed Banach couple. Recall that a couple $\{X_0, X_1\}$ is called mutually closed if $X_0 = \widetilde{X}_0$ and $X_1 = \widetilde{X}_1$, where $\widetilde{X}$ denotes the completion of X with respect to $X_0 + X_1$.

If $x \in \varphi(X_0, X_1)_{p_0,p_1}$, then

$$x = \sum_n \rho(t_n) w_n \tag{8}$$

where

$$\sum_n \|w_n\|_{X_0}^{p_0} < \infty, \qquad \sum_n (t_n \|w_n\|_{X_1})^{p_1} < \infty.$$

The expansion (8) implies

$$K(t, x, \{X_0,\, X_1\}) \leq \sum_n \rho(t_n) K(t, w_n, \{X_0,\, X_1\}).$$

Let us denote again by $\psi(t) = K(t, x, \{X_0,\, X_1\})$. Hence

$$K(t, \{\psi(u_m)\}, \{l_{p_0},\, l_{p_1}(u_m^{-1})\}) \leq \sum_n \rho(t_n) K(t, w_n, \{X_0,\, X_1\}).$$

By the K-divisibility applied to the couple $\{l_{p_0},\, l_{p_1}(u_m^{-1})\}$ we obtain a sequence $w'_n \in l_{p_0} \cap l_{p_1}(u_m^{-1})$ such that

$$\{\psi(u_m)\} = \sum_n \rho(t_n) w'_n$$

and

$$K(t, w'_n, \{l_{p_0},\, l_{p_1}(u_m^{-1})\}) \leq 6CK(t, w_n, \{X_0,\, X_1\}) \tag{9}$$

for any n.

By (9) we get

$$\|w'_n\|_{l_{p_0}} \leq 6C\|w_n\|_{X_0}, \qquad \|w'_n\|_{l_{p_1}(u_m^{-1})} \leq 6C\|w_n\|_{X_0}$$

as it was done in Lemma 1. So we conclude $\{\psi(u_m)\} \in \varphi(l_{p_0},\, l_{p_1}(u_m^{-1}))_{p_0,p_1}$ and

$$\{\psi(u_m)\} \in \varphi(l_{p_0},\, l_{p_1}(u_m^{-1}))$$

by Lemma 1.

Now we suppose that

$$\{\psi(u_m)\} \in \varphi(l_{p_0},\, l_{p_1}(u_m^{-1})) = \varphi(l_{p_0},\, l_{p_1}(u_m^{-1}))_{p_0,p_1},$$

then

$$\{\psi(u_m)\} = \sum_n \rho(t_n) w'_n,$$

where

$$\{\|w'_n\|_{l_{p_0}}\} \in l_{p_0}, \qquad \{\|w'_n\|_{l_{p_1}(u_m^{-1})}\} \in l_{p_1}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} K(t, a, \{X_0,\, X_1\}) = \psi(t) &\leq CK(t, \{\psi(u_m)\}, \{l_{p_0},\, l_{p_1}(u_m^{-1})\}) \\ &\leq C \sum_n \rho(t_n) K(t, w_n, \{l_{p_0},\, l_{p_1}(u_m^{-1})\}). \end{aligned}$$

By the K-divisibility of the couple $\{X_0,\, X_1\}$ we find a sequence $w_n \in X_0 + X_1$ such that

$$a = \sum_n \rho(t_n) w_n$$

and

$$K(t, w_n, \{X_0,\, X_1\}) \leq 6CK(t, w'_n, \{l_{p_0},\, l_{p_1}(u_m^{-1})\}).$$

Hence

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} K(t, w_n, \{X_0,\, X_1\}) \le 6C\|w'_n\|_{l_{p_0}},$$

$$\lim_{t\to 0} \frac{1}{t} K(t, w_n, \{X_0,\, X_1\}) \le 6C\|w'_n\|_{l_{p_1}(u_m^{-1})}.$$

This means

$$\|w_n\|_{\widetilde{X}_0} \le 6C\|w'_n\|_{l_{p_0}}, \qquad \|w_n\|_{\widetilde{X}_1} \le 6C\|w'_n\|_{l_{p_1}(u_m^{-1})}.$$

Thus $a \in \varphi(\widetilde{X}_0, \widetilde{X}_1)_{p_0,p_1}$. So if $X_0 = \widetilde{X}_0$ and $X_1 = \widetilde{X}_1$, Lemma 2 is proved without any assumption on the function $\varphi \in \Phi_0$. It may be shown that

$$\varphi(X_0, X_1)_{p_0,p_1} = \varphi(\widetilde{X}_0, \widetilde{X}_1)_{p_0,p_1}$$

if φ is non-degenerate (see [6]). Lemma 2 is proved.

Let now $\varphi \in \Phi_0$ and φ be degenerate. If both $\varphi(1,t)$ and $\varphi(t,1)$ are bounded then $\varphi(t,s) \asymp \min(s,t)$ and naturally we have

$$\varphi(X_0, X_1)_{p_0,p_1} = X_0 \cap X_1.$$

Suppose now that $\varphi(1,t)$ is bounded and $\varphi(t,1)$ is not bounded. In this case a balanced sequence for φ turns out to be one-sided. Let us think that we have $\{t_n\}_{-\infty}^{0}$.

Let us show that

$$\varphi(X_0, X_1)_{p_0,p_1} = (X_0, X_1)^K_{\varphi,p_0,p_1} \cap X_0,$$

where by $(X_0, X_1)^K_{\varphi,p_0,p_1}$ we denote the space of $x \in X_0 + X_1$ such that

$$\{K(u_m, x, \{X_0,\, X_1\})\} \in \varphi(l_{p_0},\, l_{p_1}(u_m^{-1})).$$

We have already shown that

$$(X_0, X_1)^K_{\varphi,p_0,p_1} = \varphi(\widetilde{X}_0, \widetilde{X}_1)_{p_0,p_1}.$$

Since $\{t_n\}$ is one-sided we have

$$\varphi(X_0, X_1)_{p_0,p_1} \subset \varphi(X_0, X_1)_{\infty,\infty} \subset X_0.$$

Hence

$$\varphi(X_0, X_1)_{p_0,p_1} \subset (X_0, X_1)^K_{\varphi,p_0,p_1} \cap X_0.$$

If now $x \in (X_0, X_1)^K_{\varphi,p_0,p_1} \cap X_0$, then there exists an element $a \in (l_1, l_1(2^{-k}))_{\varphi,p_0,p_1}$ such that $x = Ua$, where $U : \{l_1, l_1(2^{-k})\} \to \{X_0,\, X_1\}$ (see [11], where we have shown that interpolation from the couple $\{l_1, l_1(2^{-k})\}$ to any Banach couple is essentially described by K-method.) The couple $\{l_1, l_1(2^{-k})\}$ is mutually closed. Hence $a \in \varphi(l_1, l_1(2^{-k}))_{p_0,p_1}$, therefore

$$a = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{0} \rho(t_n) a_n,$$

where $\{\|a_n\|_{l_1}\} \in l_{p_0}$, $\{t_n\|a_n\|_{l_1(2^{-k})}\} \in l_{p_1}$. Thus

$$x = Ua = \sum^{0} \rho(t_n) U(a_n),$$

where $\{\|U(a_n)\|_{X_0}\} \in l_{p_0}$, $\{t_n\|U(a_n)\|_{X_1}\} \in l_{p_1}$. So we have $x \in \varphi(X_0, X_1)_{p_0,p_1}$.

Thus we obtain a description of the functor $\varphi(X_0, X_1)_{p_0,p_1}$ for all functions $\varphi \in \Phi_0$.

If at least one of p_0, p_1 is equal to infinity, then functions $K(s, t, a, \{L_{p_0}(U_0),\, L_{p_1}(U_1)\})$ where $a \in L_{p_0}(U_0) + L_{p_1}(U_1)$ not always belong to Φ_0. So if we are going to describe orbits in terms of $\varphi(X_0, X_1)_{p_0,p_1}$, we need in the definition of the functor $\varphi(X_0, X_1)_{p_0,p_1}$ not only for $\varphi \in \Phi_0$.

Recall that any interpolation function $\varphi(s, t)$ can be presented in the form

$$\varphi(s, t) = \alpha s + \beta t + \varphi_0(s, t),$$

where $\varphi_0 \in \Phi_0$. If α or β is greater than 0, then $\varphi_0 \notin \Phi_0$.

Definition 2. *If φ is arbitrary interpolation function, then denote by $\varphi(X_0, X_1)_{p_0,p_1}$ the space*

$$\alpha X_0 + \beta X_1 + \varphi_0(X_0, X_1)_{p_0,p_1},$$

where αX denotes the space X with the norm $\|x\|_{\alpha X} = \alpha\|x\|_X$ if $\alpha > 0$, and $\alpha X = 0$ if $\alpha = 0$.

Note that if both α and β are greater than zero, then

$$\varphi(X_0, X_1)_{p_0,p_1} = X_0 + X_1$$

for any p_0 and p_1.

2^o. THE MAIN THEOREM.

Theorem. *Let $\{L_{p_0}(U_0),\, L_{p_1}(U_1)\}$ and $\{L_{q_0}(V_0),\, L_{q_1}(V_1)\}$ be two Banach couples, where $1 \le p_0, p_1, q_0, q_1 \le \infty$, and $a \in L_{p_0}(U_0) + L_{p_1}(U_1)$ such that $\varphi(s, t) = K(s, t, a, \{L_{p_0}(U_0),\, L_{p_1}(U_1)\}) \in \Phi_0$, then*

$$Orb(a, \{L_{p_0}(U_0),\, L_{p_1}(U_1)\} \to \{L_{q_0}(V_0),\, L_{q_1}(V_1)\}) = \varphi(L_{q_0}(V_0),\, L_{q_1}(V_1))_{r_0,r_1},$$

where $r_0^{-1} = (q_0^{-1} - p_0^{-1})_+$ and $r_1^{-1} = (q_1^{-1} - p_1^{-1})_+$. (As usual x_+ denotes the positive part of x.)

The rest cases $\varphi(s, t) \notin \Phi_0$ can be easily reduced to $\varphi(s, t) \in \Phi_0$ as it was done in [11] where the analogous situation takes place for $p_0 \le q_0$ and $p_1 \le q_1$.

The proof is a combination of the following propositions.

Proposition 1. *For any $1 \le p_0, p_1, q_0, q_1 \le \infty$ and any weights U_0, U_1, V_0, V_1, and for any $a \in L_{p_0}(U_0) + L_{p_1}(U_1)$*

$$Orb(a, \{L_{p_0}(U_0),\, L_{p_1}(U_1)\} \to \{L_{q_0}(V_0),\, L_{q_1}(V_1)\}) \subset \varphi(L_{q_0}(V_0),\, L_{q_1}(V_1))_{r_0,r_1}.$$

Proof. Let $b = Ta$, where $T : \{L_{p_0}(U_0),\, L_{p_1}(U_1)\} \to \{L_{q_0}(V_0),\, L_{q_1}(V_1)\}$. Recall that $\rho(t) = \varphi(1, t)$. Denote $a_\rho = \{\rho(t_n)\}$, $\psi(u) = K(u, b, \{L_{q_0}(V_0),\, L_{q_1}(V_1)\})$ and $b_\psi = \{\psi(u_n)\}$, where u_m is a balanced sequence for $\psi(u)$. The Sparr theorem implies that there exists a linear operator $S : \{l_{p_0},\, l_{p_1}(t_n^{-1})\} \to \{l_{q_0},\, l_{q_1}(u_m^{-1})\}$ such that $Sa_\rho = b_\psi$.

We consider the embedding $\{l_{q_0},\, l_{q_1}(u_m^{-1})\} \subset \{l_\infty,\, l_\infty(u_m^{-1})\}$. It is known that the embedding $l_{q_i} \subset l_\infty$ are $(1, q_i)$– summing operators (by the Karl–Bennett theorem, see [1]). Hence if q < p, then the image of the standard basic sequence in l with respect to

$S : l_{p_0} \to l_\infty$ is l_{r_0}-sequence, that is $\{\|S(e_n)\|_{l_\infty}\} \in l_{r_0}$, where $r_0^{-1} = q_0^{-1} - p_0^{-1}$. Analogously $\{t_n \|S(e_n)\|_{l_\infty(u_m^{-1})}\} \in l_{r_1}$, where $r_1^{-1} = q_1^{-1} - p_1^{-1}$. Hence in any case we have

$$\{\|S(e_n)\|_{l_\infty}\} \in l_{r_0}, \qquad \text{and} \qquad \{t_n \|S(e_n)\|_{l_\infty(u_m^{-1})}\} \in l_{r_1},$$

where $r_0^{-1} = (q_0^{-1} - p_0^{-1})_+$ and $r_1^{-1} = (q_1^{-1} - p_1^{-1})_+$.

Therefore by definition $b_\psi \in \varphi(l_\infty, l_\infty(u_m^{-1}))_{r_0,r_1}$. By Lemma 2 this means

$$\{K(v_m, b_\psi, \{l_\infty, l_\infty(u_m^{-1})\})\} \in \varphi(l_{r_0}, l_{r_1}(v_m^{-1})),$$

where $\{v_m\}$ is a balanced sequence of the function $K(v, b_\psi, \{l_\infty, l_\infty(u_m^{-1})\}) \asymp K(v, b_\psi, \{l_{q_0}, l_{q_1}(u_m^{-1})\}) = \psi(v)$. Hence we can take $v_m = u_m$, and by (2)

$$K(u_m, b, \{L_{q_0}(V_0), L_{q_1}(V_1)\}) \asymp K(u_m, b_\psi, \{l_{q_0}, l_{q_1}(u_m^{-1})\}) \asymp K(u_m, b_\psi, \{l_\infty, l_\infty(u_m^{-1})\})$$

So $\{K(u_m, b, \{L_{q_0}(V_0), L_{q_1}(V_1)\})\} \in \varphi(l_{r_0}, l_{r_1}(u_m^{-1}))$. By Lemma 2 Proposition is proved.

The following propositions are devoted to the inverse inclusion

$$\varphi(L_{q_0}(V_0), L_{q_1}(V_1))_{r_0,r_1} \subset Orb(a, \{L_{p_0}(U_0), L_{p_1}(U_1)\} \to \{L_{q_0}(V_0), L_{q_1}(V_1)\}).$$

For any $b \in \psi(L_{q_0}(V_0), L_{q_1}(V_1))_{r_0,r_1}$ we must find an operator $T \in \{L_{p_0}(U_0), L_{p_1}(U_1)\} \to \{L_{q_0}(V_0), L_{q_1}(V_1)\}$ such that $b = Ta$. Again with the help of the Sparr theorem we substitute a by a_ρ and b by b_ψ as well as initial couples by $\{l_{p_0}, l_{p_1}(t_n^{-1})\}$ and $\{l_{q_0}, l_{q_1}(u_m^{-1})\}$ respectively.

Proposition 2. *Let $\{\psi(u_m)\} \in \varphi(l_{r_0}, l_{r_1}(u_m^{-1}))$, then there exist sequences $\{\beta_m^0\} \in l_{r_0}$ and $\{\beta_m^1\} \in l_{r_1}$ such that*

$$K(s, t, \{\psi(u_m)\}, \{l_1(1/\beta_m^0), l_1(1/\beta_m^1 u_m)\}) \le C\,\varphi(s,t).$$

Proof. Since $\{\psi(u_m)\} \in \varphi(l_{r_0}, l_{r_1}(u_m^{-1}))$ we have $\psi(u_m) = \varphi(\alpha_m^0, \alpha_m^1 u_m)$, where $\{\alpha_m^0\} \in l_{r_0}$, $\{\alpha_m^1\} \in l_{r_1}$.

Consider now the convolution of α_m^0 and ε_j^0, where $\varepsilon_j^0 = (1-\varepsilon)^{-j}$ for $j \le 0$ and $\varepsilon_j^0 = 0$ for $j > 0$. Denote $\beta^0 = \varepsilon^0 * \alpha^0$. Analogously $\beta^1 = \varepsilon^1 * \alpha^1$, where $\varepsilon_j^1 = (1-\varepsilon)^j$ for $0 \le j$ and $\varepsilon_j^0 = 0$ for $j < 0$. Choose $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small. Then

$$\beta_{m+1}^0(1-\varepsilon) \le \beta_m^0, \qquad \beta_m^1(1-\varepsilon) \le \beta_{m+1}^1, \tag{10}$$

$\alpha_m^0 \le \beta_m^0$, $\alpha_m^1 \le \beta_m^1$ and $\beta^0 \in l_{r_0}$, $\beta^1 \in l_{r_1}$.

Indeed $\varepsilon^0,\ \varepsilon^1 \in l_1$, hence $\beta^0 \in l_{r_0}$, $\beta^1 \in l_{r_1}$ in view of convolution properties. Since $\varepsilon_0^0 = \varepsilon_0^1 = 1$ we have $\alpha_m^0 \le \beta_m^0$, $\alpha_m^1 \le \beta_m^1$. By definition

$$\beta_m^0 = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{0} (1-\varepsilon)^k \alpha_{m-k}^0.$$

(We define α^0 as 0 outside the interval $\mathbb{M}$.)

Since

$$\beta^0_{m+1} = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} (1-\varepsilon)^{k+1}\alpha^0_{m-k} = (1-\varepsilon)\sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1}(1-\varepsilon)^k\alpha^0_{m-k}$$

we obtain $\beta^0_{m+1}(1-\varepsilon) \le \beta^0_m$.

Analogously

$$\beta^1_m = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(1-\varepsilon)^k\alpha^1_{m-k},$$

and

$$\beta^1_{m+1} = \sum_{k=-1}^{\infty}(1-\varepsilon)^{k+1}\alpha^1_{m-k} = (1-\varepsilon)\sum_{k=-1}^{\infty}(1-\varepsilon)^k\alpha^1_{m-k}.$$

Hence $\beta^1_m(1-\varepsilon) \le \beta^1_{m+1}$.

For the K-functional of $\{\psi(u_m)\}$ we have now

$$K(s,t,\{\psi(u_m)\},\,\{l_1(1/\beta^0_m),\,l_1(1/\beta^1_m u_m)\}) = \sum_{m\in\mathbb{M}}\psi(u_m)\min\left(\frac{s}{\beta^0_m},\,\frac{t}{\beta^1_m u_m}\right).$$

For $s=\beta^0_k$, $t=\beta^1_k u_k$ we have

$$K(\beta^0_k,\,\beta^1_k u_k) \le \sum_{m\le k}\psi(u_m)\frac{\beta^0_k}{\beta^0_m} + \sum_{m\ge k}\psi(u_m)\frac{\beta^1_k u_k}{\beta^1_m u_m}.$$

By (1) and (10) we conclude that right hand side of the latter inequality is estimated by a sequence equivalent to $\psi(u_k)$.

Indeed in view of (1)

$$\frac{\psi(u_m)}{\psi(u_{m+1})} \le \frac{1}{q}, \qquad \frac{u_m}{\psi(u_m)}\frac{\psi(u_{m+1})}{u_{m+1}} \le \frac{1}{q},$$

and by (10)

$$\frac{\beta^0_{m+1}}{\beta^0_m} \le \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}, \qquad \frac{\beta^1_m}{\beta^1_{m+1}} \le \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}.$$

Therefore

$$\frac{\psi(u_m)}{\beta^0_m}\frac{\beta^0_{m+1}}{\psi(u_{m+1})} \le \frac{1}{q'}, \qquad \frac{\beta^1_m u_m}{\psi(u_m)}\frac{\psi(u_{m+1})}{\beta^1_{m+1}u_{m+1}} \le \frac{1}{q'}.$$

where $q' > 1$ if ε is sufficiently small.

Hence

$$\frac{\psi(u_m)}{\beta^0_m}\frac{\beta^0_k}{\psi(u_k)} \le \left(\frac{1}{q'}\right)^{k-m},$$

for $m \le k$, and

$$\frac{\beta^1_m u_m}{\psi(u_m)}\frac{\psi(u_k)}{\beta^1_k u_k} \le \left(\frac{1}{q'}\right)^{m-k}$$

for $m \ge k$. These inequalities imply

$$\sum \psi(u_m)\frac{\beta^0_k}{\beta^0_m} \le \frac{q'}{q'-1}\psi(u_k), \qquad \sum \psi(u_m)\frac{\beta^1_k u_k}{\beta^1_m u_m} \le \frac{q'}{q'-1}\psi(u_k).$$

Thus

$$K(\beta_k^0,\, \beta_k^1 u_k) \le \frac{q'}{q'-1}\, \psi(u_k) = \frac{q'}{q'-1}\, \varphi(\alpha_k^0, \alpha_k^1 u_k) \le \frac{q'}{q'-1}\, \varphi(\beta_k^0, \beta_k^1 u_k),$$

therefore

$$K(s,t,\{\psi(u_m)\},\, \{l_1(1/\beta_m^0),\, l_1(1/\beta_m^1 u_m)\}) \le C\, \varphi(s,t).$$

Proposition is proved.

Proposition 3. *Let* $b_\psi = \{\psi(u_m)\} \in \varphi(l_{r_0},\, l_{r_1}(u_m^{-1}))$, *then there exists a linear operator* $S : \{l_{p_0},\, l_{p_1}(t_n^{-1})\} \to \{l_{q_0},\, l_{q_1}(u_m^{-1})\}$ *such that* $S(a_\rho) = b_\psi$.

Proof. Without loss of generality we assume that $p_0 \ge q_0$, $p_1 \ge q_1$. By Proposition 2 we can find $\beta^0 \in l_{r_0}$ and $\beta^1 \in l_{r_1}$. Consider embedding

$$\{l_1(1/\beta_m^0),\, l_1(1/\beta_m^1 u_m)\} \subset \{l_{p_0}(1/\beta_m^0),\, l_{p_1}(1/\beta_m^1 u_m) \subset \{l_{q_0},\, l_{q_1}(u_m^{-1})\}\} \tag{11}$$

and the element b_ψ.

By the left-hand side embedding and Proposition 2 we have

$$K(s,t,\{\psi(u_m)\},\, \{l_{p_0}(1/\beta_m^0),\, l_{p_1}(1/\beta_m^1 u_m)\}) \le C\, \varphi(s,t).$$

Since $\varphi(s,t) \asymp K(s,t,a_\rho,\{l_{p_0},\, l_{p_1}(t_n^{-1})\})$ by the Sparr theorem there exists an operator $S : \{l_{p_0},\, l_{p_1}(t_n^{-1})\} \to \{l_{p_0}(1/\beta_m^0),\, l_{p_1}(1/\beta_m^1 u_m)\}$ mapping a_ρ into b_ψ.

The composition of S and the right hand side embedding in (11) is the desired mapping. Thus Proposition and Theorem are proved.

References

[1] G.Bennett, Inclusion mappings between l_p spaces, J. Functional Analysis, 13(1973), 20–27.

[2] Ju.A.Brudnyi, N.Ya.Krugliak, Interpolation Functors and Interpolation Spaces. I. Amsterdam: North Holland, 1991.

[3] Ju.A.Brudnyi, A.Shteinberg, Calderon Couples of Lipschitz Spaces, J. Functional Analysis, 131(1995), 459–498.

[4] V.I.Dmitriev, On interpolation of operators in L_p spaces, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 260(1981), 1051–1054. English transl. in Soviet Math. Dokl. 24(1981), 373–376.

[5] S.Janson, Minimal and maximal methods in interpolation, J. Functional Analysis, 44(1981), 50–73.

[6] E.D.Kravishvili, The method of means with arbitrary functional parameter, Trudy matematicheskogo faculteta VGU, 2002. Voronezh, Voronezh State University (in print). (in Russian).

[7] J.-L.Lions, J.Peetre, Sur une classe d'espaces d'interpolation, Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math. 19(1964), 5–68.

[8] V.I.Ovchinnikov, An exact interpolation theorem in L_p spaces, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 272(1983), 300–303. English transl. in Soviet Math. Dokl. 28(1983), 381–385.

[9] V.I.Ovchinnikov, Description of orbits, Israel Math. Conf. Proceedings, 5 (1992), 291–292.

[10] V.I.Ovchinnikov, On the description of interpolation orbits in couples of L_p spaces, when they are not described by the K-method, Israel Math. Conf. Proceedings, 5 (1992), 187–206.

[11] V.I.Ovchinnikov, The method of orbits in interpolation theory, Math. Reports. Vol.1, part 2, 349–516.

[12] G.Sparr, Interpolation des espaces L^p_w, C.R.Acad. Sci. Paris. Ser.A–B 278 (1974), 491–492.

[13] Sparr G., Interpolation of weighted L_p spaces, Studia Math. 62(1978) 229–271.